



# RVS: Choice of a new optical system

Fanny CHEMLA GEPI

## Introduction

Introduction

Main issues

Triplet

4 or 5 lenses systems

Offner relay

Conclusion

Astrium has provided a design that exceeds the specification in terms of mass

A new design has to be found objective: at least 20% of lightening

Four options are currently studied in parallel

# General shape of the design

Introduction

Main issues

Triplet

4 or 5 lenses systems

Offner relay





## Criteria on which the systems are compared:

- Mass
- Volume
- Transmission
- Telecentricity
- Possibility to add two folding mirrors before the grism?

## Why two folding mirrors?

4 or 5 lenses systems

Offner relay



Introduction

Main issues

Triplet

Exemple of a use of two folding mirrors

Conclusion

(here in the former Astrium design)

# General shape of the design

Introduction

Main issues

Triplet

4 or 5 lenses systems

Offner relay





# **Telecentricity**

Introduction

Main issues

Triplet

4 or 5 lenses systems

Offner relay

Optical system: collimator The collimator has to be telecentric



# Four system options found

4 or 5 lenses systems



Main issues

Triplet

Introduction





Conclusion

Offner relay







### <u>Main advantages</u>

- Light
- Lots of free spaces (possibility to add fold mirrors)
- correct transmission (small number of surfaces)

#### <u>Main inconveniences</u>

- Optimisation limited
- High aspherisation needed on the optical surfaces
- High sensitivity to the tolerancing process expected 10

# 4 or 5 lenses systems

4 or 5 lenses systems

Other light systems that are appropriate with the RVS (Richard Bingham):



Main issues

Triplet

Introduction





Offner relay



# Introduction Main issues Triplet 4 or 5 lenses systems Offner relay Offner relay

## Doubly telecentric (5 lenses on both sides)



## <u>Main advantages</u>

- Light
- High optimisation flexibility

## <u>Main inconveniences</u>

- No free spaces to add fold mirrors for the moment
- High sensitivity to the tolerancing process expected

## Telecentric collimator (5 lenses) non-telecentric camera (4 lenses)

4 or 5 lenses systems



#### <u>Main advantages</u>

Main issues

Triplet

Light

Introduction

High optimisation flexibility

#### <u>Main inconveniences</u>

 No free spaces to add fold mirrors for the moment

Offner relay

# **Offner relay**

4 or 5 lenses systems



## <u>Main advantages</u>

 Lots of free spaces to add two, or even more folding mirrors

Main issues

Triplet

very light

Introduction

High transmission (only 3+2 mirrors)

### Main inconvenience

Offner relay

- High overall volume (might even be redhibitory)
- Low flexibility for optimization

# Introduction Main issues Triplet 4 or 5 lenses systems Offner relay

## **Comparative table**

	Former Astrium design	Triplet on both sides	Five lenses on both sides	Collimator: Five lenses Camera: Four lenses	Offner Relay
Layout	Warning: old FOV 1.6°*2°	design in progress			
(unfolded view)					
Possibility to add 2 mirrors*	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES
Weight	21.7 kg 24 kg expected with 2.54°	19 TBC	19 kg (in case there are folding mirror)	18.5 kg (in case there are folding mirror)	14.5 kg TBC with SiC
Max Track (z)	1234 mm	1300 mm TBC	923 mm	1000 mm	1400 mm
Dimensions	750*570*200 mm <sup>3</sup>	800*600*250 mm <sup>3</sup> TBC	215*215*023 mm <sup>3</sup>	240*240*1000 mm <sup>3</sup>	1400*800*500 mm <sup>3</sup>
x, y, z	(folded)	(folded)	215 215 925 hun	240 240 1000 1111	1400 800 200 1111
Number of surfaces	28	16	22	21	5
Total transmission	0.24 TBC	0.29 TBC	0.26 TBC	0.26 TBC	0.34 TBC
Design flexibility	High	Low	High	High	High
Probable sensitivity					
to the tolerancing process	High	High	High	Low	Low
Feasibility issues	None	Feasibility of high aspherisation of the lenses curvatures to be checked	None	None	Convex grating feasibility: to be checked

\*the two mirrors would allow a de-rotation of the field of view (rotation of the field of view is due to the GAIA scanning law)

Advantages Inconveniences