Automatic determination of

Stellar Atmospheric Parameters

of GAIA-RVS spectra

A. Recio-Blanco

In collaboration with: A. Bijaoui, P. de Laverny, D.Katz, F. Thévenin and the MARCS collaboration

1. The methods

Two approaches to derive the individual abundances from the RVS spectrum

. . . .

All the atmospheric parameters and the individual abundances are derived from the RVS spectra (**ONLY** !)

2. Atmospheric parameters from astrometry and photometry

Individual abundances from RVS spectra

1. The methods – case A

Observed GAIA spectrum

Automatic procedure



Grid of synthetic spectra

Atmospheric parameters and individual abundances

of the star

1. The methods - Alternative

Observed GAIA spectrum + Inputs from Photometry & Astrometry

Automatic procedure



Grid of synthetic spectra

Individual abundances

of the star

Alternative to be tested in the coming 6 months

1. The method

Grid of synthetic spectra

- Grid of synthetic MARCS spectra in the GAIA domain.
 - λ range = 8475 8745 Å, in steps of $d\lambda = 0.02$ Å
 - Effective Temperature range : 4000 K 7500 K, step = 250 K
- Gravity (log g) range : 0.0 5.0, step = 0.5
- *Metallicity* ([*M*/H]) : 4.0, -3.0, -2.0, -1.0, -0.5, 0.0, +0.5 (a enhanced)
- Convolved to $d\lambda = 0.24$ Å => 3 points / RVS resolution element

- Convolved with a Gaussian noise
- New dimension added to the grid:
 - [Ca/Fe] = -0.5, 0, +0.5





The automatic procedure - Alternative

• *Tests with the Principal Component Analysis*



Diagonalization of the correlation matrix => new system of the eigenvectors

i	\mathbf{e}_i	V_i	C_i
1	1.72	57.3	57.3
2	0.99	33.0	90.3
3	0.29	9.7	100.0

 $e_i = Eigenvector's value$ $V_i = Associated variance$ $C_i = Cumulative variance$

 Θ_4

 Θ_5

 Θ_6

ξ2

Θ3

Θ2

-0.5

 Θ_1

1. The method

🚓 🖉 👘 👘 👘 👘

The input GAIA spectra

Grid of synthetic spectra + Gaussian noise
"The Grid looks for itself !"

State of the second second

Better results than in december

- Errors in Teff, log g, [M/H] =0 for 100% of spectra if SNR>45 and [M/H]>= - 2
- More metal-poor stars: larger errors for the gravity
- Interpolated spectrum (outside the Grid) + Gaussian noise
 - Stellar parameters not always well found
 - *Effects of [Ca/Fe] variations can mimic Teff variations*
 - To be more tested in the coming months

1. The method

Work in progress and future improvements

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF

- Results for input spectra "out of the grid" .
- Results for spectra with abundance anomalies (in [Ca/Fe], [α /Fe],...)
- Results for spectra with different values of microturbulence, macroturbulence and rotation...

• Individual abundances: Ca, Fe, Mg, Si, Ti.

• Other technique of parameter determination: Principal Component Analysis.

and the second second

2. Tests with real data in the RVS domain

• Galactic Globular Cluster 47Tuc

10 M

• Galactic Open Cluster M67

1 2 X

• ESO/Flames application for 3 galactic fields to periodically check the procedure during the GAIA mission.

Galactic Globular Cluster 47 Tuc

[*M*/*H*] ~ -0.75

~220 RGB & HB stars observed with Flames (R~17000)



Old Galactic Open Cluster M67

4.5 Gy

~40 stars observed with UVES (R~50 000)



The FLAMES proposal

• About 500 stars in 3 fields with SNR=40-60 (for I < 16)

GIRAFFE + UVES = 130 + 8 fibers Field of view: diameter = 25 arcmin Set up: Giraffe # 21 (8484 – 9000 Å) R=16200 UVES (4500-7500 + 6000-9000Å) R=40000

The FLAMES proposal

1.1



The FLAMES proposal

1.1.1



The FLAMES proposal

1.1

